Smith's Report

ON THE HOLOCAUST CONTROVERSY

Number 87 **WWW.CODOH.COM** **January 2002**

NOTEBOOK

Bradley R. Smith

On 11 December Jewish Defense League (JDL) chairman Irv Rubin and another JDL member, Earl Krugel, were arrested and booked on charges of conspiracy to destroy a building by means of an explosive, which carries up to five years in prison, and possession of a destructive device related to a crime of violence, which carries a 30-year mandatory sentence.

The targets allegedly were the King Fahd Mosque in Culver City and the office of freshman Rep. Darrell Issa, R-Calif. Rubin and Krugel were arrested 11 December after the last component of the bomb - explosive powder - was delivered to Krugel's home, according to U.S. Attorney John Gordon said. Other bomb components and weapons were seized at the home. It was not immediately clear when the alleged plot began or what prompted it. In court papers, authorities quoted Krugel as saying during a meeting that Arabs "need a wake-up call."

I can understand that.

Rubin's attorney, Peter Morris, said his client had nothing to do with the explosives. "It seems to us that, given the timing ... the government's action is part of an overreaction to the Sept. 11 events."

Continued on page 7

Moderated Discussion Forums Produce High Traffic on CODOHWeb.

Outreach implies going "out" someplace to reach people. That's what it has always implied for me. I went "out" to radio and television. I went "out" to student newspapers with the Campus Project. When we went Online with CODOHWeb it was another way of reaching "out" for an audience in my quest to mainline revisionist theory.

We settled in and began building the archives that make up most of the materials on CODOHWeb. We connected CODOHWeb to all other serious revisionist sites on the World Wide Web. We began talking of having contributed to the building of a "library" of revisionist resources on the Web. We spoke of "archives." I used these words again and again.

When I thought about CODOHWeb, in my mind's eye I actually saw the image of a great library. Something stationary, static, waiting for people to climb its broad steps. It was as if my imagination were being directed by my vocabulary. I understood the outreach concept of the World Wide Web without really absorbing it. Slowly, over the past year, as I have been searching for fresh tactics to forward this work, I have grown increasingly conscious of how "dynamic" CODOHWeb is, how in one way it is in one place on the Internet, and how in another it "reaches out" in ways that have been just below my level of awareness.

On CODOHWeb we have **NewsDesk**, which links

Continued on page 3

LETTERS

I read your book, *Confessions of a Holocaust Revisionist*, which I enjoyed very much, especially the chapter on Dachau. And thanks for sending *Smith's Report*. The piece by Ralph Marquardt is excellent.

Steve W., England

Congratulations on your usual high, very high, level of writing in "Notebook." And thank you for printing George Brewer's article after the events of 911 – "The Path from the World Trade Center to Peace." He is first-rate – a brilliant elucidation of the situation to help clear the clouds of confusion from our (my) still-stunned brain.

As for Ralph Marquardt – it is indeed startling to consider the possibility that we will never again talk about the Holocaust the way we used to. I think he is most persuasive, finally. He certainly aims in the right direction. Time for a change! – but not to abandon the wonderful, important work of the past by so many open-minded and courageous revisionists. We can only hope that we will not lose too many of our valuable friends as we broaden our approaches and concerns.

And congratulations on reaching that pinnacle in the ADL hierarchy – a Top Ten Extremist!.

Maggie F., Maine

Here is something you may have missed. It's from Paul Krassner's *Confessions of a Raving, Unconfined Nut,* Simon Schuster, 1993, p. 225. "When I originally met [the publisher] Lyle Stuart in 1953, he had published an article in The Independent about how the Anti-Defamation League was secretly subsidizing anti-Semitic publications and then using them to scare contributions out of wealthy Jews."

Lou Rollins, Washington

The early date, and Stuart and Krassner both being "New York" Jew's, gives this quote a special sense. I don't remember The Independent. I do recall the night maybe fifteen years ago when you and I went to listen to Krassner speak in Los Angeles, bought his most recent book, which he autographed for us. I used to follow him rather closely. Never hear from him any longer.

I would like to have read in *Smith's Report* something on David Irving's "Real History" conference in early September. Why didn't you report on it?

Klaus F., Germany

I couldn't attend the conference. The fact that I did not report on it reflects one of the weaknesses of Smith's Report. *I'm not certain that it is a weakness. But it does illustrate that what goes into* **SR** *is peculiar to the work that I am doing myself, with the help of friends and supporters. It is not really a review of what is going on in the world of revisionism. David McCalden used to publish such a report and it was valued by all of us.*

This brings up a matter that, strictly speaking, would be more appropriate for me to comment on privately with David Irving. But over the years Irving has consistently contributed to ***Smith's Report****. Sometimes ten, twenty months in a row. I have never understood exactly why. I never understood how he could even remember to do it, how he found the time. He's one of those guys who works harder than everyone else, produces more than everyone else, and yet month after month he would take the time to write out a small check for me.*

Then early this year there was Irving's ill-fated campaign against Deborah Lipstadt and most of the Western World, which turned into something of a financial catastrophe for him. You can imagine my surprise then to find that Irving still occasionally takes time to sit down and write a check for me and send it off. It's the oddest thing. He has never asked me for anything. On top of everything else, he suffered a terrible tragedy with his daughter, which he wrote about with a much stiffer upper lip than I have written about my own daughter. I have never thanked Irving for thinking about me while he is thinking about all the other stuff he thinks about and all the other stuff he does. I suppose I am writing this to take care of a couple birds with one stone.

I appreciated very much your book *Hate: A True Story*. It is very good, and not the usual stuff. However, the Preface is bad. Too sophisticated, too cryptic. You should give each chapter a title reflecting its essential matter. It would encourage readers. But the book is really good. People will be surprised to discover that revisionists are human beings, not zombies or aliens.

Siegfried, Belgium.

SR #86 arrived in the mail today. I am sure I am not the only one who has/will inform you that the "English king" that you mention was not an English king, but the Scots King Robert the Bruce who was fighting the English for an independent Scotland. I heard the story of the spider years ago on television and then reread it in a biography of Robert the Bruce. My son, who is 15, is doing a report on the biography for high school. He tells me he had not heard the spider story before reading it in the book I gave to him to read. He originally told me he wanted a biography of a person that would make his teacher feel uneasy, so I suggested Irving's *Goebbels: Mastermind of the Third Reich*, but he opted for something shorter. So Robert the Bruce it is.

John W., Kansas

Let's fight the evil rather than the people who are evil. God will take care of the punishment.

E.K.S. Judge, Virginia

I received this note many months ago, in a disturbingly shaky hand, from a very elderly lady who has written many times over the years. She wrote that she was born a Jew and converted to Christianity. She was always urging me to be generous, to not be judgmental, to not let the anger of the moment destroy the goodness of the moment. I was quite truck by this last short note, meant to print it, put it

last short note, meant to print it, put it off, and now when I check my mailing list I find she is no longer there. I imagine that someone has cancelled her subscription to **SR**. *I fear the worst.*

Enclosed is $58 for two years subscription to Smith's Report. I'm a year behind. Thanks for keeping me on your list. Here's an idea: put expiration dates on the mailing label so moochers like me will know when to renew!

Claude D., Virginia

Every month someone suggests that I do the label thing. It's common practice for those who publish periodicals. I don't know how to do it. I keep meaning to have someone set up the program for me but don't get around to it. In the meantime – if each of you would take the time to check your records to see if you have contributed in the last year, I would very much appreciate it. Or send a postcard saying you really don't want to hear from me any longer. Big favor if you would.

DISCUSSION FORUMS

Continued

electronically to the primary stories of the day dealing with revisionism and the issues that revisionist theory impacts. We have ***The Revisionist***, which remains the Internets only Revisionist periodical – one that is regularly monitored and commented on by ADL factotums. And then we have the **CODOH Discussion Forums**. These three "pages" (to use the jargon of the Internet – though they are in fact collections of scores and will one day be collections of hundreds of "pages") together make up the present "outreach" program for CODOHWeb. They will soon be augmented – but that is a story for next month.

The CODOH Discussion Forums are the most visited, the most widely read, of any pages on CODOHWeb. There are two Forums, each monitored by David Thomas – and as a matter of fact were created by him some three years ago. One Forum is dedicated to "Holocaust Revisionism Exclusively." Thomas calls the second Forum "The Sounding Board," and it is "Open to the full spectrum of 20th Century historical revisionism."

These two Forums are viewed by people from all over the world, tens of thousands of times every month, month in and month out. Anyone can participate, so long as he respects the rules of the Forums – which are based on the traditional standards outlined in "Robert's Rules of Order." Most of those who log on to the Forums remain silent viewers, for reasons we all understand. A small minority participates in the back and forth. David Thomas and other CODOH associates keep the discussions going.

This is real "outreach." We know what the numbers are, but we do not know the identities of those who visit the Forums. We know that there are people from both Israel and Moslem countries, from all over Europe and Asia. The Forums are "stationary" in the sense that they remain "electronically" in one place on the Web, but at the same time they are "dynamic" in that any kid living in New Zealand, or the villages of Bavaria, can log onto the Forums with a click of his mouse.

I think the materials discussed in the Forum for "Holocaust Revisionism Only" are particularly pertinent for me to focus on here. On the one hand basic revisionist issues are addressed again and again. On the other, the back and forth is not limited to some obscure quarterly that is available in a small number of public and university libraries. When a revisionist issue is addressed on a CODOH Discussion Forum it is available instantly to tens of thousands of individuals all over the world. It is then indexed and archived and remains available.

That's outreach. It's my job to see to it that *hundreds* of thousands of people view these discussions – *millions!* This isn't megalomania. It simply reflects the possibilities of a means of communication that is not in the hands solely of the professors, or other cultural elites, or in those of the State. For the first time here, I will reprint excerpts from four topics discussed the last few weeks on our Discussion Forums. You will note that CODOH's views on these issues are stated very carefully, very soberly. We are introducing a radical perspective on a taboo subject to people who, in the first instance, have no reason to trust us or take us seriously. All they know about revisionists is what they get from media, which has been filtered through the lens of the Holocaust Industry. Here is where we convince new people to go to the main CODOH archives on revisionist theory, and to visit ***The Revisionist*** where they can read commentary on how revisionism impacts on the real world, today.

Here then are four topics (of scores) that have been addressed recently in CODOH Discussion Forums, including one response by a "believer" (Mathis). They are severely edited for reasons of space.

THE AUSCHWITZ "DEATH MARCH"

Question: I am trying to reconstruct the events surrounding the alleged Auschwitz death march. The traditional story goes that as the SS camp guards were fleeing the oncoming Red Army. The SS forced 58000 prisoners to go with them. This is totally illogical. I can't imagine the SS bogging themselves down with sick or injured prisoners in the face of an enemy who would undoubtedly kill them on sight. Possible Theories: the 58000 were never there to begin with, they were deported to the Gulags, they were killed by the Red Army. Is there any IMT testimony from a prisoner participant of this march?

Ralph Marquardt: There were no "death marches" from Auschwitz. There were evacuation marches from Auschwitz that totaled about 50,000 people. They were evacuated in groups of several thousand each over a period of a few weeks before the camp was taken by the Soviets. No one disputes this.

In some cases these evacuation marches were wholly on foot for 150 miles or more; more often, however, there would be a march of 50-80 miles and then rail transport to some camp, such as Belsen, Dachau, Theresienstadt, Mauthausen, etc. etc. Probably

hundreds died during these marches, but it seems clear that the main source of mortality for these people came when they arrived at the camps they were sent to, because the overcrowding created starvation and typhus conditions which afflicted many camps at the end of the war.

About 6,000 inmates at Auschwitz who were judged too ill for the trip and/or chose not to go survived and were liberated by the Soviets, so the marches were not "death marches" in the sense that they were not planned for the purpose of killing people or that a significant proportion of the evacuees were killed and/or died in the process.

There were only 2 witnesses for the prosecution about Auschwitz. One was a French communist woman who spent a few months at Auschwitz before being transferred to Ravensbrueck in 1944; most of what she related about Auschwitz was by necessity hearsay. The French presented her as a witness because she was theoretically reporting about conditions in Ravensbrueck. The other witness was a Polish woman, who was famous at the time for having just published a book on her experiences at Auschwitz in Poland; she was presented by the Soviets. There was of course Hoess, later on, but he was actually called by the defense. The Soviets had no role in the NMT trials. Those were American run.

By the way: the six thousand prisoners at Auschwitz when it was liberated were not simply allowed to go home. They were kept in various camps until the end of the war and even after and were "encouraged" to depose. Then they were allowed to go home. The same routine occurred with all prisoners who fell into Soviet hands.

It is incorrect to describe Anne Frank as a 'death marcher'-- she arrived at Auschwitz in September, 1944, stayed a few weeks and then was transferred with her sister to Belsen in October. She died in Belsen in March. Incidentally, of the nine people in the Frank party, only one survived, Otto, who was in hospital at Auschwitz in January of 1945 and therefore was not obliged to take part in any 'death march.'

Of the other eight, only two died at Auschwitz, including Anne's mother, who died of 'natural causes.' The rest were established to have died at other camps. This doesn't fit into the idea that people were sent to Auschwitz for the exclusive purpose of "exterminating" them, but that's not my problem. It does however indicate that one could have a catastrophic death rate (8/9) in the camps even without a "program."

The 'death marches' are supposed to refer to the evacuations that occurred in January 1945 alone.

ZYCLON B AND LICE

Question: People keep citing the argument of the amount of Zyklon B it takes to kill lice and then compare it to the amount that would be needed to kill humans. What does this argument mean? I cannot make anything of it. Can anyone provide some info as to what is meant by this argument?

Andrew Mathis, PhD.: It means that it takes far less Zyklon-B to kill a human being than a body louse. When fumigations were done at Auschwitz, they often took several days. This is because the gas was released at a high concentration and was allowed to permeate the rooms for several hours. Furthermore, no exhaust fans were used to remove the gas from the fumigated rooms. All this was done to ensure that all lice were killed. Having different circulatory, respiratory, and nervous systems than mammals, lice are hardier in terms of susceptibility to poisons not ingested through the digestive system.

Mammals inhale cyanide gas into their lungs, which then puts it directly into the circulatory system. Death occurs in a matter of minutes, if not seconds. Therein lies the difference. If a person rating the suitability of Zyklon-B to kill people does not take these differences into account, then their comparison is likely to be invalid.

David Thomas: Couple of notes/ corrections: (1) It takes a lower concentration of HCN to kill a human than is required for an insect, not a lesser amount. Given the enormous differences in body mass, it definitely requires a greater mass of HCN to kill a human than it does for a louse. A human could ingest a louse that was as saturated with HCN as a louse can get and never notice it, while, conversely, there's probably enough cyanide in the smoke of one cigarette to kill a louse if it all were delivered to it.

(2) Cyanide kills by blocking the oxygen exchange process of the red blood cells. This creates a kind of suffocation, which cannot cause death in "seconds," although people can certainly lapse into unconsciousness quickly. Many have awakened from cyanide-induced unconsciousness in industrial accidents because the body has natural mechanisms that get rid of it. Death occurs when the load taken in is too much to be dissipated before the brain is damaged beyond recovery, but this does require cooperation from the victim, i.e. keep breathing the contaminated air.

The last person executed by cyanide gas in North Carolina fought the process by holding his breath as long as he could between gulps of highly concentrated HCN in a small heated chamber with forced air circulation, optimal conditions for lethal delivery. He remained conscious for about 10 minutes, and death finally occurred in 18 minutes. I don't have the reference at hand, but it resulted in North Carolina abandoning the gas chamber method because many of the participants were deeply traumatized by the spectacle, and it garnered a lot of negative publicity.

Lice have both a slower ingestion mechanism and a simpler nervous system that can withstand prolonged oxygen deprivation, so they can still recover after several hours of exposure. The actual amount of HCN required to kill them is tiny, the high concentrations are used only to insure that the atmosphere they're in remains at lethal levels for many hours. That's why execution gas chambers also used extremely high concentrations. If they tried to do it with anything near the

minimum lethal dosage, it would have to be maintained for an inordinate length of time to insure death. The amounts of HCN reported in the literature, suspect as that source may be, indicate high dosage rates, near half those used for delousing.

Six kilograms of Zyklon, 210 square meters of floor space and an unspecified (low) ceiling height. That gives a shade over 8,000ppm of HCN, assuming a 3 meter ceiling and that the Zyklon amount is stated in the normal manner as the effective amount of product (the yield), not as a gross weight. This is more than enough to have left a distinct surface and subsurface residue with multiple daily usage, but oddly it didn't. Or maybe not so oddly, since it was never present in the rooms claimed as gas chambers, save when they were periodically deloused.

A correction on the calculation - the 6kg of HCN was reported to be the amount for 1,400 victims (per the ever reliable Rudolf Hoess). Extrapolating that out to the reported 2,000 per batch in Krema II (same source) gives a peak HCN concentration of 12,000ppm. The figures are similar for the smaller Krema I.

Some further information for those who care to follow the concentration derivation. Concentration was figured as ppm by weight. There are about 1.2 kilograms of air per cubic meter. This varies with barometric pressure, temperature, humidity and altitude, but only a little. So you figure the number of grams of HCN per cubic meter and the percentage of the 1,200 grams total that represents, expressing the result as parts per million (1% = 10,000ppm).

The 18 minutes [referring to an execution that took place in the U.S. in which the victim was pronounced dead after 18 minutes—Ed] represents the time that it took the heart activity to stop, something that varies with individual metabolism and body mass. As stated in another post, death in seconds cannot occur from oxygen deprivation. Even if the heart stops, the brain will live for several minutes and a person could be revived with the possibility of brain damage.

Other tissues in the body, including the heart, live on for a relatively long time. In practice, the heart continues to beat for several minutes into unconsciousness, how long being another variable. What made the North Carolina execution so horrific is that the condemned, who was mentally retarded to some degree, would take in a breath and give a hoarse wail that "I am human!" He'd then hold his remaining breath as long as possible, take another, and repeat the awful plea with increasingly slurred voice. Most witnesses eventually fled the room, and the prison personnel, who had to stay, were deeply disturbed by this pathetic struggle for the last few moments of life. This man chose to fight the process.

The German victim undoubtedly did what helpful guards advise, "Take very deep breaths and it'll be over quickly." I imagine that the difference to observers of the two executions was on the order of the difference between watching a sanitized Hollywood gunshot death, where the victim grabs an invisible bullet entry point and collapses with a slight groan, and seeing someone disemboweled by shrapnel shrieking and trying unsuccessfully to hold their guts in.

HOLOCAUST STUDIES PARADY VRS REALITY

Jerry: Like many other readers, I was deeply disturbed by further revelations of extensive Swiss involvement in the Holocaust. We now know that Switzerland supplied red dye for the German production of Nazi flags. This is just one more example illustrating the extent to which Europeans as a whole must bear responsibility for the most horrific genocide in world history. Indeed, perhaps we have as yet only scratched the surface of our collective guilt.

Sadly, in spite of the lessons of the Holocaust, such appalling crimes against humanity continue unabated in Europe to this day. In a particularly horrendous anti-Semitic incident reported in France recently, an employee in a *patisserie* was arrested after decorating a cake with a swastika (there might have a Swiss connection there too—was the cake a Swiss roll?).

As has been suggested here, newspaper articles of this kind illustrate just how thin the line is between parody and reality in all matters Holocaustic. This has given me an interesting idea that could be used in the classroom by English teachers, professors of "media studies", etc.

The idea would be to present students with a series of articles about the "Holocaust" and "anti-Semitic incidents", some of them real articles taken from newspapers, and others entirely invented parodies. The students' task would be to work out which of the articles had actually appeared in newspapers, and which of them were send-ups. I'm sure that in many cases it would take a very keen mind to tell the difference...

For example, how would this article (from "holocaustiana") be judged?

NAZI GOLD ON THE MOON, New York. *- Moshe Abrams, leader of the newly founded group, "Jews for Gold," has been demanding that NASA reactivate the moon program to find gold hoarded by the Nazis after WWII.*

Newly discovered documents have come to light that show that the Nazis built UFOs and hid millions of tons of stolen tooth-gold at Ancillis on the moon. Mr. Abrams has been demanding that the American government either fund new moon trips, or at least pay the equivalent in money to his organization for distribution to needy survivors. Mr. Abrams recently stated that, "American lack of interest in this issue borders on Anti-Semitism", but added, "we are glad that the US government is considering retrieving our stolen gold."

NASA has been given special congressional support and the US President has set up a special committee to look into these allegations. In his weekly radio address, the President stressed the need for "understanding and tolerance."

Scientists call the claims ridiculous, but added, "The scientific community should not engage in Anti-Semitism because there is a small

probability that the claims are accurate." Mr. Abrams was not available for comment.

SOBIBOR

Question: There have been stories in the press that massive burial sites have been found at Sobibor. How close to the truth is this? What significance do the stories have?

Ralph Marquardt: Most revs (revisionists) don't question either the existence of the *Aktion Reinhardt* (AR) camps or the likelihood that Jews died and/or were killed there. The real questions have to do with scale (how many), why they were sent there (plunder and labor vs. extermination), and method (bizarre gassing stories vs. much more plausible shooting stories) and finally disposal (cremation fantasies).

The existence of mass graves at both Belzec and Sobibor prove that Jews died, and were likely killed, at both locations. However, all the rest of the data tends to argue in favor of the revisionist theses. Thus:

1. Mass graves. The graves at either Sobibor or Belzec could contain at maximum about 50 K whole bodies. That would represent about (again, at max) about 25% of those known to be trans-shipped through Sobibor or about 16% of those 400 K sent through Belzec. It is important in both cases that whole bodies are on the lower layers of the cremation pits: this contradicts lore that says bodies were disinterred and burnt on rails. What it actually describes is a situation in which mass graves were uncovered and a fire was set on top in the hopes that the remains would burn all the way down. They didn't.

If only a fraction, and less than a majority, of those who were sent through these camps were not killed there, then that raises major questions about what happened there. They could be described as "killing centers" I suppose if one wants to stress the fact that people were killed there (bullets). They cannot be described as "extermination camps" with an "assembly line of death" if at least three-quarters of those sent there were then sent somewhere else (probably to eastern ghettoes or work details, which is what revs have always maintained.)

2. Plunder and Labor vs. Extermination. The discovery of mass graves that cannot account for more than a portion of those deported to these camps in the course of AR proves that plunder, labor utilization and "ethnic cleansing" was the main impetus behind the deportations. If it was "extermination" then everyone would have been killed on site and we would have mass graves commensurate with this. We don't, in either case. So much for "extermination."

3. Method. The AR camps are supposed to have used CO gas in specially constructed gas chambers. No gas chamber has been found at Belzec. Now it is being suggested, very provisionally, that the one building at Sobibor that they have found might have been a gas chamber. I predict that this argument will be abandoned.

But more to the point, theoretically, these tissues should be testable. There are procedures for testing CO levels in dead bodies. Pit burials essentially mummify the interior remains, so it seems to be theoretically possible to measure CO even now. Let's do it. But don't hold your breath.

On the other hand, the presence of bullets confirms what common sense would suggest: deportees who were killed for whatever reason were shot. If one wants to argue for mass gassing, the first problem one has is that you have to argue two methods of "extermination," shooting (corroborated by forensic) and gassing (not corroborated.) That doesn't make much sense.

But there's a further problem, one of scale. The whole idea of gassing suggests a sophisticated method of killing great masses of people. But with casualties maxing out at 50 K, no such fancy methods would be either necessary or even cost effective. Fifty thousand over the course of, say, a year, is about 140 people a day. Civilians throughout Europe were being killed in a variety of ways every single day of the war—at that clip and above. That the Germans might have, or would, kill Jews—I accept it, many revs don't—is of course terrible, and so on. Even if other revs are right and it's "only" hundreds of K, it's still deplorable and deserves censure in retrospect. However, that moral thing is a whole other kettle of fish than the argument about these Wal-Mart sized "factories of death", and there has been no recent evidence, including that at Sobibor or Belzec, to support the traditional magnitudes.

4. Disposal. The usual story insists that "all" the AR bodies were dug up and burned on rails. The excavations at both Belzec and Sobibor reveal this to be pure—well, BS. The bodies were not dug up and burned to conceal the traces of crimes. The graves were probably dug up because of the swelling associated with mass graves, and fires were set on top -- probably for reasons of hygiene.

The fake-out from the establishment—that "there are people who deny the existence" of these camps is a straw man, and everyone conversant with this topic will see it for the falsehood it is. Under such circumstances it is natural that revisionists will persist in skepticism.

CODOHWEB STATISTICS

During the last thirty days: from 16 November through 15 December. Documents on CODOHWeb were accessed 894,000 times. This at a time when the Campus Project subsided for the season, when I have not yet printed my book, and have not yet initiated the Internet campaign that I am formulating. As I have said before – we are positioning ourselves to receive One Million hits per month on CODOHWeb – after which we'll go on from there.

NOTEBOOK continued

It's possible that Rubin will have to feed Krugel to the dogs. Rubin's wife, Shelley, said her husband and Earl "are completely innocent of anything [she probably means "everything"]. They are law-abiding, good people."

When I was still in Hollywood and making noise on the radio – that was in the early 1990s before the Internet exploded all over the place – Rubin used to ring me up to browbeat me. We frequented the same parts of town, especially Fairfax Avenue, a Jewish part of town where years before I had had a bookstore. I kind of liked talking to him. He's a bully, but he has a sense of humor. He kept challenging me to meet him "anywhere I wanted." I was getting a lot of death threats in those days, there had been attempts to break into my office on Hollywood Boulevard, and I would tell Irv that I would really like to get together with him --but for the moment would take a rain check

One night about 11pm I stopped by Kantor's, my favorite delicatessen on Fairfax Avenue. I was going to take some strudel home for my mother but when I walked in I saw Irv Rubin there with three cronies. They were at a table laughing and passing the time. I made an exceptionally agile and smooth U-turn and walked outside again to my car. I would have to stop at a doughnut shop to get something sweet for my mother. It wouldn't be the same classy strudel that Kantor's sells, but sometimes you just have to make do.

Recently a mutual friend of Rubin and myself, a Jewish fellow from Romania who now lives in West Los Angles, informed me that Rubin would like to debate me. Our friend had suggested such a debate several times but Rubin had always said no. Now I was told he had changed his mind. I thought that was interesting but I did not jump at the opportunity. I'm too old to fight, too old to run, and when Rubin gets within shouting distance of a Holocaust revisionist he's always had a difficult time keeping it together. Now it looks like the Arabs have put Irving in an unusually bad mood. I think I'll have to find some other way to amuse myself.

Audrey, who you will remember was my right-hand man for close to two years, has left Mexico for the US. She is in line for a good job, doing what she does here, and we wish her the best. I'm rather envious, or better perhaps, feel kind of hollow, to understand that I am unable to return myself. She left Cyrano with us, two cats that we gave her as kittens more than a year ago, and a kitten that recently adopted her and her family.

Cyrano is a parrot, twenty-four years old, who talks and squawks all day long. Good company. Audrey and her father both hated having to leave him behind but could not afford to have any problems with him crossing the frontier. Good luck to both of them, and to Audrey's brother and her two kids, Anthony and Jonathan.

Chapters and Indigo is a mainline bookstore chain in Canada. One Heather Reisman is responsible for what it sells. She has decided to stop selling *Mien Kamph* and return all copies to its distributor. The *Toronto Globe and Mail* editorialized against the move, pointing out that Mien Kamph is essential reading for students of the Third Reich.

"Independent bookstores, which have had a particularly hard time of it in the shadow of Chapters and Indigo, offer an important alternative to the book barns. But given the dominance of the Reisman Empire, the federal government should also look at easing its Canadian cultural laws to allow foreign companies such as Amazon.com to set up warehouses in this country, to increase competition and choice." Well said. I'm surprised that the TG&M would bother.

Amazon.com is an Internet vendor. With regard to keeping *Mein Kamph* in circulation, book chains like Chapters and Indigo are neither here nor there. The Internet will distribute books by radical writers for the sheer joy of distributing information. To ask the "Internet" to stop selling such books would be like asking the tabloids to stop publishing gossip about movie stars. It would be "unnatural" for the "Internet" to agree – even if the "Internet" were a corporation or Government agency, which is exactly what it is not. It would go against the nature of the beast. The fact that CODOHWeb, in effect, has received no funding whatever and yet has received more than 20 million [sic] hits since it was established in 1995, illustrates the new (informational) world order we are living in.

Ted O'Keefe, David Thomas and I got together during a recent Saturday afternoon. We met in Carlsbad, midway between Costa Mesa in Orange County and San Yisidro on the Mexican border, a one-hour drive for each of us. I don't count the time from the house to the border, as I have to go over every three weeks or so to take care of business. This is the first time we have gotten together like this, but I do not believe it will be the last.

Paloma is still doing fine running the kitchen at the Center where she is interned. Sunday she told us about how one morning she was surprised to find a wheelbarrow full of cow heads waiting in front of her oven. They were dehorned and split down the middle lengthwise. After overcoming her first reactions of disgust, nausea and confusion, she started building the fire. The directress gave her the simple recipe. Paloma was particularly put off by how the eyeballs "quivered." She got through that ordeal in a satisfactory way and the next morning found a two hundred pound hog carcass waiting for her in the wheelbarrow.

She's not going to be with us for Christmas after all. While the Center is in the hills and somewhat isolated, it is not a jail. Girls run away. Two of the girls working in the kitchen ran away last week. Paloma is the one experienced girl remaining. She has decided that wants to remain at the Center and cook for "the gang" over Christmas.

Anti-Defamation League 11 28 01
Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust.

"Bradley Smith, the Holocaust denier who runs CODOH, uses the events of September 11 as another opportunity to cast doubts on the Holocaust. He compares the certainty of the September 11 attacks to the "uncertainties" he harbors about the Holocaust. Smith writes, "Americans will never again find themselves so bemused with the 'Holocaust' that happened in Europe over half a century ago. We all watched a 'holocaust' on our television screens."

The material quoted above by the ADL and posted on its Website on the Internet is from my opinion piece "American Holocaust: Will There be People Who Deny It?". It was submitted to maybe a hundred college editors. So far as I know, it was printed nowhere. Widmann then published it in ***The Revisionist***.

It's clear that the ADL people monitor ***The Revisionist*** **(TR)** regularly. It's clear because they say they do. It is in **TR** where revisionists Brewer, Widmann, Weir, Smith, Doyle and others comment on topical issues from a revisionist perspective. It is interesting to observe that **TR** is a revisionist journal of opinion with an *international* distribution – via the Internet.

Below is a partial list of recent articles published in **TR**. If you'd like to see what kind of work we are doing I'll send along three articles, or more, in return for a small contribution. The length of the articles varies from 800 to 1,200 words. I've removed those that first appeared in **SR** or were reprinted here. Check your recent back issues – I may have inadvertently listed something here you already have. The articles published in **TR** most recently are listed first.

Jews, Catholics and the Holocaust
By Albert Doyle
Watchdogs Exploit Terror Attacks to further Agendas *By Richard Widmann*
Wine, Bombs and the Microchip Conspiracy *By Bradley R. Smith*
On Terror and its Other Names
George Brewer
America's New Girlfriend *By John Weir*
Whatever Happened to Israel?
By George Brewer
Not Pearl Harbor II
By Richard Widmann
Israel's Diminishing Options
By George Brewer
It's the Water, Stupid
By Ralph Marquardt
The Holocaust Happened
By Richard Widmann
The Chilean Document
By John Weir
Open Letter to Deborah Lipstadt
By Paul Grubach
Can I Sell You a Testimony?
By J.P. Bellinger
The Plum Cake
by John Weir
Holes at Auschwitz
by Matt Giwer
The Myth of Holocaust Compensation
By George Brewer

WHO READS WHAT?

This is being passed around over the Internet. I have no reason to print it here other than that I find it amusing, and telling.

The Wall Street Journal is read by people who run the country.

The New York Times is read by people who think they run the country.

The Washington Post is read by people who think they ought to run the country.

USA Today is read by people who think they ought to run the country, but don't understand the *Washington Post*.

The Los Angeles Times is read by people who wouldn't mind running the country, if they could spare the time.

The Boston Globe is read by people whose parents used to run the country.

The New York Daily News is read by people who aren't sure who is running the country.

The San Francisco Chronicle is read by people who aren't sure there is a country.

The Miami Herald is read by people who are running another country.

Next issue I will update you on preparations for publishing The Book, and on the new work to begin to exploit the power of the Internet -- step by step. I look forward to the work. We'll be doing work that is fresh and interesting.

And thanks very much for your continued support. I'm especially grateful to those of you who contributed beyond the call of duty during December. It was a life-saver.

Bradley

Smith's Report

is produced by
Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH)

For your contribution of $29
you will receive eleven issues of
Canada and Mexico $35
Overseas $39

All checks and correspondence to

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 439016
San Diego, California 92143

Telephone (voice): 619 685 2163

Tel & Fax (Baja):

011 52 661 61 23984

E-mail: brsmith@telnor.net